

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,989		01/28/2004	Noboru Shimoyama	1232-5267	1232-5267 5015	
27123	7590	07/14/2006	,	EXAMINER		
		JEGAN, L.L.P.	UHLENHAKE, JASON S			
NEW YORI		AL CENTER .0281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2853		
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006	DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/766,989	SHIMOYAMA, NOBORU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jason Uhlenhake	2853		

	Jason Uhlenhake	2853						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 04 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below.	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(DTOL 324)					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).					
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	illowable if submitted in a separate,							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w ovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						
Jan Uhlubas		7/8	u					
7/7/06	PRIMARY I	EXAMINER						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Advisory Action Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief		aper No. 20060705					

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new issue raised in Claims 1 and 5 concerning an ink jet printing apparatus wherein there is an overlapping period in which the print medium feeding means and the print medium conveying means are driven simultaneously, and the control means provides control such that the preliminary ejecting operation is not performed in the overlapping period will need further consideration, and require a new search.